



NORWICH TO TILBURY

EN020027

Comments on Submissions received at Deadline 4

Babergh District Council [REDACTED]

Mid Suffolk District Council [REDACTED]

Deadline 5

10 June 2026



Table of Contents

Glossary of Acronyms	2
Purpose of this Submission	2
Comments on submissions received at Deadline 2	3
Table 1:	Error! Bookmark not defined.
Table 2:	4

Glossary of Acronyms

<i>DCO</i>	<i>Development Consent Order</i>
<i>ES</i>	<i>Environmental Statement</i>
<i>NSIP</i>	<i>Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project</i>
<i>PPA</i>	<i>Planning Performance Agreement</i>

“BDC” refers to Babergh District Council; “MSDC” refers to Mid Suffolk District Council; “BMSDC” refers to BDC and MSDC jointly; “SCC” refers to Suffolk County Council; and “the Councils” refers to BDC, MSDC, and SCC jointly.

Purpose of this Submission

The document has been prepared by Babergh and Mid Suffolk District Council to respond to the Applicant’s submissions, and where appropriate representations made by other interested parties, received at Deadline 4 (“**D4**”) for Norwich to Tilbury. Examination Library references are used throughout to assist readers.

Comments on submissions received at Deadline 4

Table 1: Document: 8.4.9 Applicant's Comments on any Further Information or Submissions Received by Deadline 3 [REP4-298]				
Table Item	Service Area and/or Topic	Referenced Paragraph or Item	BMSDC's Comments	References
1a	Lead officer	Response to REP2-113, page 3	BMSDC welcome the engagement with the applicant to date regarding opportunities for strategic mitigation at Bramford substation. The Councils, together with SCC, have proposed measures to the applicant for consideration and remain in ongoing dialogue on this matter. It is noted that the applicant's response to proposals will be submitted at deadline 5. The Councils will review this and respond further at ISH4 / deadline 6 as appropriate.	
1b	Lead officer	Response to REP2-113, page 5	From a recent and previous visits to Bramford substation, it is clear that the site is regularly manned. That notwithstanding, the cumulative effect of constructed, consented and reasonably foreseeable development connecting to the substation is considered to materially alter the risk profile of the surrounding area. On this basis, the Councils maintain their positions that National Grid, as asset operator and connection offeror, are responsible for appropriate mitigation of the cumulative effect of development connecting to Bramford substation that is driven by connections offered by National Grid.	

1c	Lead officer	Response to REP2-113, page 8	The Councils welcome the opportunity to discuss the DASSI and design approach and confirm this engagement has not yet taken place.	
----	--------------	-------------------------------------	--	--

Table 2: 8.4.3 Report on Interrelationship with Other Infrastructure Projects - Clean Version [REP4-296]				
Table Item	Service Area and/or Topic	Referenced Paragraph or Item	BMSDC's Comments	References
2a	Lead officer	Pages 11-17 insofar as they relates to developments in Babergh and Mid Suffolk Districts	The ExA is respectfully referred to the Councils' response to ExQ2 question GEN 2.4	